





APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

09/398,126 09/16/1999 TAKAHIRO SASAKI 2803-63294 9667

7590 02/01/2002

PATRICK G BURNS ESQ
GREER BURNS & CRAIN LTD

PATRICK G BURNS ESQ GREER BURNS & CRAIN LTD 233 S WACKER DRIVE SUITE 8660 CHICAGO, IL 60606

DUDEK, JAMES ANDRE

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2871

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
f Office Action Summary	09/398,126	SASAKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	James A. Dudek	2871
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,5-9 and 11-32</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
LO Colored and Trademod. Office		



Election/Restrictions

Claims 3, 5-7 and 11-32 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected group/species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 7.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the alignment control structures of at least one of substrates having means for forming a boundary of alignment of first type in which liquid crystal molecules around a point are directed to said point, and means for forming a boundary of alignment of second type in which a portion of liquid crystal molecules around a point are directed to said point and the other part of the liquid crystal molecules around said point are directed point are opposite to point must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.



Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recitation "said point are directed point are opposite to point" is nonsensical and as such the examiner interprets the above quoted limitation to mean "said point are directed to an opposite point" until applicant clarifies the limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,188,457 to Liu.

Per claims 1-2 and 4, Liu discloses a pair of substrates (104 and 108) having electrodes (ITO layer) and vertical alignment layers (112); a liquid crystal having a negative anisotropy of dielectric constant and inserted said pair of substrates (see column 3, first full paragraph); alignment control structures (110) arranged in each of the pair of substrates for controlling alignment of the liquid crystal and each of said alignment control structures comprising a plurality of constituent units (the alignment control layer 110 is formed on both the upper and lower substrate and comprises a plurality of bumps or constituent units which run parallel to each other and to the constituent units formed on the opposite substrate).



Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: per claim 8, "the alignment control structures of at least one of substrates having means for forming a boundary of alignment of first type in which liquid crystal molecules around a point are directed to said point, and means for forming a boundary of alignment of second type in which a portion of liquid crystal molecules around a point are directed to said point and the other part of the liquid crystal molecules around said point are directed point are opposite to point" is understood to mean that the control structure further comprises two different means. The first being the means for forming a boundary of alignment of first type in which liquid crystal molecules around a point are directed to said point and the second being a means for forming a boundary of alignment of second type in which a potion of liquid crystal molecules around a point are directed to said point and the other part of the liquid crystal molecules around said point are directed point are opposite to point. This give rise to three elements: the control structure, the first means and the second means.

According to this understanding, the prior art of record does not teach nor suggest "the alignment control structures of at least one of substrates having means for forming a boundary of alignment of first type in which liquid crystal molecules around a point are directed to said point, and means for forming a boundary of alignment of second type in which a pert of liquid crystal molecules around a point are directed to said point and the other part of the liquid crystal molecules around said point are directed point are opposite to point."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Dudek whose telephone number is 308-4782. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William L Sikes can be reached on 703-308-4842. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7721 for regular communications and 703-308-7721 for After Final communications.



Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 793,308-0956.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2871

JAD January 29, 2002